

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF United States Patent and Trademark Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,847	10/24/2001	Richard L. Goodin	1001.1485101	5504
28075	7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			HO, UYEN T	
1221 NICOLL SUITE 800	ET AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420		3731	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>					
	10/041,847	GOODIN, RICHAI	RD L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the may be a served by the Office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months are the served by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three months after the maximum by the office later than three mont	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ornmunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1-	<u>38</u> .							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.	1						
<i>,</i> —								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applicati	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form P	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this Nationa	l Stage _.					
Attachment(s)	A\	mmary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/04 have been fully considered but they do not place the application in condition for allowance. A new ground of rejection as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 14, 16-22, 25, 27-30, 32, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Di Caprio et al. (6,419,685). Di Caprio et al. disclose an angioplasty catheter as claimed (figures 1-13) comprising an inner tube (12), an outer tube (13), a balloon (20), an inflation lumen (23), a support block (16) having a plurality of fins (figs. 1-10), tapered distal region and a proximal region, wherein the support block is welded to the inner tube by adhesives (col. 8, lines 54-67). The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over components of the Di Caprio et al.'s catheter which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/041,847

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 12, 13, 15, 23, 24, 26, 31, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Di Caprio et al. (6,419,685). Although, Di Caprio et al. do not disclose the support block is coupled to the inner tube by injection molding, heat shrinking or laser bonding. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Di Caprio et al. reference by coupling the support block to the inner tube by injection molding, heat shrinking or laser bonding since the applicant has not disclosed that coupling the support block to the inner tube by injection molding, heat shrinking or laser bonding solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the support block would perform equally well with coupling by adhesive or injection molding, heat shrinking or laser bonding.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

August 31, 2004